

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/080,517	05/18/1998	CHANDRASEKHAR NARAYANASWAMI	YO998-095	9487
7:	590 10/17/2003		EXAMI	NER
FRANK CHAU 1900 HEPMSTEAD TURNPIKE			TILLERY, RASHAWN N	
SUITE 501			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
EAST MEADOW, NY 11554			2612	
			DATE MAILED: 10/17/2003	17

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/080,517	NARAYANASWAMI ET AL.			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Rashawn N Tillery	2612			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 04 September 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension on the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.			
NOTE:					
$3 \ \square \ \mathbf{Applicant's}$ reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment			
5.☑ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: <u>See</u>		dered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-22</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	royed by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer 10. Other:	WENDY SUPERVISORY F	R. GARBER PATENT EXAMINER OENTER 2600			





Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding Applicant's arguments concerning the motivation for combining the Friedman and Yamadaji patents, the examiner notes that motivation has been adequately provided in the Final Office Action. The examiner's assertions made in the Response to Arguments section of the Final Office Action were made in support of the motivation. The examiner further notes that Applicant does not explicitly claim where in the image the parameters are watermarked; and thus, the parameters could be watermarked in the border of the image. .